

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/825,083		04/14/2004	Mikhail M. Feldstein	2335-0010	7175	
23980	7590	09/22/2006		EXAMINER		
MINTZ, LI	•	OHN, FERRIS, GL	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K			
PALO ALT				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			1713		
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)	A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,			
	Office Action Summany	10/825,083	FELDSTEIN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William K. Cheung	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the properiod with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	eptember 2006.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 24,26-30,38,40,91 and 92 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 24,26-30,38,40,91 and 92 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2)  Notic 3)  Infori	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/825,083

**Art Unit: 1713** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on September 11, 2006 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/825,083 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows. Claims 24, 26-30, 38, 40, 91, 92 are examined with merit.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 24, 26-30, 38, 40, 91, 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parker et al. (US 2003/0055190 A1) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 4 of non-final office of April 6, 2006.

Applicant's arguments filed September 11, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that nowhere in Parker et al. teaches materials that are water soluble. However, the examiner disagrees because in view of the composition teachings in Parker et al. (page 1, 0006-0014), applicants must recognize that the disclosed formula I include an acrylic acid monomer esterified with a hydrophilic side chain.

Applicants must recognize that the ethylene oxide units on the side chain of formula I is inherently water-soluble. Although applicants argue that the side chain formula I of Parker et al. also contain ethylene or propylene units that are inherently not water-soluble, applicants must recognize that the recited m can be as high as 1370 which is significantly larger value as compared to the recited n, which ranges from 9-115. In view

Art Unit: 1713

of that the disclosed side chain can contain 99.3 mole percent of ethylene oxide units, the examiner has a reasonable basis that the side chain of the formula I of Parker et al. can impart water solubility to the disclosed materials.

Regarding applicants' argument that the recited "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)' of the claimed invention, applicants must recognize that there is not a evidence that the basic properties (water solubility) of Parker et al. had been affected by less than one mole percent of alkylene units into the ethylene oxide side chain of Parker et al. Further, applicants must recognize that the recited "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of the claim not to contain other side chains that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s) of the claimed invention, not the composition of the side chain as claimed.

Regarding claims 38, 40, 91, 92 applicants argue that the claims as written require an oxygen, sulfur, amide, or –(CO)-S- moiety that is attached directly to the polymer backbone, applicants fail to recognize that L¹ groups as written do not require the oxygen, sulfur to be directly attached to the polymer backbone. Therefore, the L¹ groups as written can include the carbon of the recited functional groups to be directly attached to the polymer backbone.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,083 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung Ph

**Primary Examiner** 

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 14, 2006